Publication date: 04/08/2023

### Notice of and reasons for the Final Determination

The NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee, established under the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (the Act), has made a Final Determination to list the herb *Goodenia nocoleche* Pellow & J.L.Porter as a VULNERABLE SPECIES in Part 3 of Schedule 1 of the Act and, as a consequence, to omit reference to *Goodenia nocoleche* Pellow & J.L.Porter in Part 2 of Schedule 1 (Endangered Species) of the Act. Listing of Vulnerable species is provided for by Part 4 of the Act.

### Summary of Conservation Assessment

Goodenia nocoleche Pellow & J.L.Porter was found to be Vulnerable in accordance with the following provisions in the *Biodiversity Conservation Regulation 2017*: Clause 4.3 (c) (d) (e iii) because: (i) *G. nocoleche* has a moderately restricted Extent of Occurrence (EOO) of 12,113 km<sup>2</sup> and a highly restricted Area of Occurrence (AOO) of 36km<sup>2</sup>; (ii) *G. nocoleche* is known from six threat-defined locations; and (iii) continuing decline has been observed and is projected to continue in the area, extent and quality of habitat due to degradation by feral animals and domestic livestock and weed invasion.

The NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee has found that:

1. Goodenia nocoleche Pellow & J.L.Porter is described by Pellow and Porter (2005) as an "ephemeral amphibious herb to 40 cm high, vegetative parts glabrous. Basal leaves with floating lamina 20-40 mm long, 7-14 mm wide, thin, glossy green above, flat, lanceolate, margins undulate and minutely toothed, apex acute with tooth. Petioles elongated to 60 cm long, much longer than lamina, lengthening with water depth. Cauline leaves present at base of flowering stem 10-40 mm long, 2-3 mm wide. Flowers in racemes. Bracts longer towards base of inflorescence, linear and sometimes resembling leaves, 10–40 mm long. Bracteoles linear, 8–10 mm long, 0.6-1 mm wide, with minute simple and glandular hairs: bracteoles occasionally producing axillary buds which extend into new inflorescence branches. Pedicels 2–5 mm long without articulation. Floral tube 3–5 mm long with minute simple and glandular hairs. Calyx lobes linear, 4-6 mm long, 0.8-1 mm wide, numbering 5 or sometimes 6, attaching in top  $\frac{1}{3}$  of floral tube and covered with minute simple and glandular hairs. Corolla yellow, 5-5.5 mm long, lobe tips often tinged with pink or purple; glandular and simple hairs present externally, glabrous internally; no enations observed; anterior pocket obscure; abaxial lobes 2 mm long with wings approximately 0.5 mm wide; adaxial lobes 2.5 mm long with wings approximately 0.5 mm wide. Staminal filaments 1.8-2.0 mm long, anthers 0.5-0.8 mm long. Ovary with numerous ovules in 2 rows on either side of the septum; septum almost as long as the ovary. Style 2-2.5 mm long with scattered long simple hairs; indusium 1-1.5 mm long, 1-1.3 mm wide, purplish in colour, hairs present on adaxial rim, abaxial rim without hairs. Fruit pale, obconical, 5-6.5 mm long, 2-3 mm wide, hispid with short simple and glandular hairs. Seed 1 mm long, 2.5 mm wide, light brown, glossy, reticulation faint, winged."

- 2. Goodenia nocoleche is a short-lived annual which can germinate and grow in standing water up to 0.6 m deep. As water recedes, inflorescences emerge and grow rapidly before the plants die back completely as sediments dry out (Pellow and Porter 2005). Thus, it requires seasonal inundation to stimulate germination from a persistent soil seedbank followed by partial drying to stimulate flowering and fruiting (Pellow and Porter 2005).
- 3. Goodenia nocoleche is currently known from nine ephemeral wetlands in the Bulloo and Paroo River systems of northwest New South Wales (NSW) and southwest Queensland, though standing plants have only ever been observed aboveground at two of these sites with all others known only through *ex situ* germination after experimental soil seedbank sampling (Porter 2002; 2019; Pellow and Porter 2005). It is a highly ephemeral, amphibious species that is most abundant in temporary, endorheic freshwater wetlands with intermediate levels of salinity and inundation (Porter 2002; Porter *et al.* 2007) and the only sightings of standing plants are in wetlands matching this description (Pellow and Porter 2005; RBGDT 2020). It has also been detected in soil samples from exorheic, permanent, deep and highly turbid water bodies and more saline, mostly dry lakes which may exhibit lethal levels of salinity at times (Porter 2002, J. Porter *in litt.* June 2004).
- 4. Vegetation around the swamps where Goodenia nocoleche has been recorded consists of a narrow band of trees around the perimeter (typically Eucalyptus largiflorens and E. populnea subsp. bimbil) with trees and shrubs then absent on the swamps, with occasional grasses in dry times and sedges and submerged or partially emergent aquatics present after flooding (Pellow and Porter 2005). Eleocharis sp., Sclerolaena muricata, Duma florulenta, Marsilea sp., Peplidium foecundum and Lobelia darlingensis have all been recorded growing adjacent to G. nocoleche within inundated and saturated soils (RBGDT 2020). Hunter (2020) characterises these wetlands as shallow freshwater sedge swamps on inland floodplains and depressions (PCT 53) in the Inland Floodplain Swamps vegetation class of the Freshwater Wetlands formation (after Keith 2004).
- 5. The geographic distribution of *Goodenia nocoleche* is moderately restricted. The Extent of Occurrence (EOO) is based on a minimum convex polygon enclosing all mapped occurrences of the species, the method of assessment recommended by IUCN (2022), and was measured at 12,113 km<sup>2</sup>. Area of occupancy (AOO) was calculated using 2 x 2 km grid cells, the scale recommended by IUCN (2022) and was calculated to be 36 km<sup>2</sup>, occupying nine grid cells.
- 6. Population estimates for highly ephemeral species such as *Goodenia nocoleche*, which largely persist as seeds in the seedbank of arid wetlands and only emerge during periods of sufficient rainfall, typically carry a high level of uncertainty. Soil seedbank data alone are an unreliable indicator of aboveground abundance and population structure as the effects of stochastic rainfall events and drought disturbance on seed dormancy, seedling growth, reproduction and dispersal are all unknown for *G. nocoleche* (J. Porter *in litt.* June 2004).

- 7. Pied Stilt Swamp in Nocoleche Nature Reserve, the only site with multiple observations of standing plants and the highest sampled density of seeds in the soil seedbank, partially filled in September 2020 allowing an estimate of subpopulation size. *Goodenia nocoleche* was found to be abundant only in the lowest accessible parts of the swamp at that time, with thousands of individuals recorded across approximately 4 ha (RBGDT 2020). Other apparently similar sections of the swamp did not contain any individuals (G. Phillips pers. obs. September 2020). The subpopulation size in this swamp was estimated at 5,000–10,000 individuals at the time, with this figure regarded as conservative (G. Phillips pers. obs. September 2020).
- 8. Goodenia nocoleche is an ephemeral species of arid zone wetlands, with populations responding to erratic and infrequent flooding events. The most serious plausible threat to the species is therefore considered to be habitat degradation due to disturbance from feral pigs (*Sus scrofa*) as they are known to be active in areas such as the Nocoleche Wetlands and along the Paroo River in both Queensland and NSW (Dexter 1996; NPWS 2000; Choquenot and Ruscoe 2003; Gentle *et al.* 2019; Peck 2020). Based on average pig densities for the region of approximately one pig per km<sup>2</sup> (Gentle *et al.* 2019), average home ranges of <12 km<sup>2</sup> in Nocoleche Nature Reserve (Dexter 1999), differences in control between public and private lands (NPWS 2000) and distances between sites, the nine subpopulations of *G. nocoleche* are considered to span six threat-defined locations.
- 9. Feral Pigs (Sus scrofa) are highly active across the range of Goodenia nocoleche, having been regularly recorded from the Nocoleche wetlands, the Cuttaburra Basin and along the Paroo River in both Queensland and NSW (Dexter 1996; NPWS 2000; Choquenot and Ruscoe 2003; Gentle et al. 2019). In the core G. nocoleche wetlands within Nocoleche Nature Reserve, most feral pig activity occurs around the Paroo and Cuttaburra channels (NPWS 2000) and within a 5 km zone to the west of the river (Choquenot and Ruscoe 2003). Beyond 10 km west of the river, wetlands are still at risk but pig activity is lesser as the key habitat requirement for the pigs of shade and pasture become more scarce (Dexter 1998; Choquenot and Ruscoe 2003). Pigs cause significant damage to waterways, wetlands and other floodplain communities with their wallowing causing habitat destruction and degradation, accelerating weed spread and leading to the decline of native flora and fauna (NSW OEH 2017; Hunter 2020; National Feral Pig Action Plan 2021). 'Predation, habitat degradation, competition and disease transmission by Feral Pigs, Sus scrofa Linneus 1758' is listed as a key threatening process under the NSW Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 and the Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999.
- 10. Noogoora Burr is also of concern in Nocoleche Nature Reserve, with core infestations in the area around Momba Swamp and noticeable patches at Pied Stilt Swamp (NPWS 2000; G. Phillips pers. obs. September 2020). Noogoora Burr is often found in low lying areas prone to flooding and proliferates when water dries back from these areas, capable of forming extensive, dominant stands when mass germination occurs after floods (NPWS 2000; NSW DPI 2014). The fruits form a

woody burr with hooked spines, meaning they can easily be transported by animals or float to be spread by water (NSW DPI 2014). Given the presence of this weed in Nocoleche Nature Reserve around the key habitat of *Goodenia nocoleche*, its ability to rapidly colonise and degrade that habitat at a key time in the growth cycle of *G. nocoleche* and limited direct control to date due to lack of knowledge about off-target effects, Noogoora Burr is a serious threat to the habitat quality of the wetlands containing *G. nocoleche*.

- 11. Continuing decline is strongly inferred and projected in area, extent and quality of habitat for Goodenia nocoleche due to degradation of habitat by feral and domestic animals, in particular feral pigs, and the invasion of exotic weeds, especially Noogoora Burr (Xanthium occidentale). Feral pigs are a known problem in Currawinya National Park (Peck 2020) and both pigs and cattle have been observed to have caused considerable damage to the wetlands in Nocoleche Nature Reserve (Hunter 2020, G. Phillips pers. obs. September 2020). This observed degradation of habitat is enabling the proliferation of weeds such as Noogoora Burr which further suppress the growth of ephemeral species when conditions allow and further limits habitat availability for wetland species (NPWS 2000; NSW DPI 2014). Habitat degradation by pigs and invasion of weeds may then interact with changed hydrology regimes due to climate change in the future to further enhance degradation of habitat. While the riverine wetlands of the Paroo River can be in a wet phase when river flows are sustained through upstream conditions, endorheic wetlands reliant on local rainfall such as those in Nocoleche Nature Reserve can still be in a dry phase driven by prolonged dry spells in that part of the catchment. This can lead to increased grazing pressure and weed abundance in the endorheic wetlands as herbivore numbers are maintained by riverine flooding, with increased drought exacerbating the problem and limiting the ability of the wetlands to recover afterward without substantial interventions (Hunter 2020). These threats mean that the quality and availability of habitat of G. nocoleche is undergoing continuing decline and is likely to remain under pressure and continue to decline into the future.
- 12. *Goodenia nocoleche* Pellow & J.L.Porter is not eligible to be listed as an Endangered or Critically Endangered species.
- 13. Goodenia nocoleche Pellow & J.L.Porter is eligible to be listed as a Vulnerable species as, in the opinion of the NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee, it is facing a high risk of extinction in Australia in the medium-term future as determined in accordance with the following criteria as prescribed by the *Biodiversity Conservation Regulation 2017*:

Assessment against *Biodiversity Conservation Regulation 2017* criteria The Clauses used for assessment are listed below for reference.

### Overall Assessment Outcome: Vulnerable under Clause 4.3 (c) (d) (e iii). Clause 4.2 – Reduction in population size of species (Equivalent to IUCN criterion A) Assessment Outcome: Data Deficient

• •			kely to undergo within a time frame characteristics of the taxon:				
	(a)	for critically endangered species	a very large reduction in population size, or				
	(b)	for endangered species	a large reduction in population size, or				
	(c)	for vulnerable species	a moderate reduction in population size.				
• •	The d wing:	letermination of that criteria is	s to be based on any of the				
	(a)	direct observation,					
	(h)	an index of abundance, appropriate to the taxon					

	(4)			
(	(b)	an index of abundance appropriate to the taxon,		
(	(c) a decline in the geographic distribution or habitat quality,			
(	(d)	the actual or potential levels of exploitation of the species,		
(	(e)	the effects of introduced taxa, hybridisation, pathogens, pollutants,		
		competitors or parasites.		

# Clause 4.3 - Restricted geographic distribution of species and other conditions (Equivalent to IUCN criterion B)

Assessment Outcome: Vulnerable under Clause 4.3 (c) (d) (e iii)

The g	The geographic distribution of the species is:							
	(a)	for c	critically endangered	very highly restricted, or				
		spec	cies					
	(b)	for e	endangered species	highly restricted, or				
	(C)	for v	ulnerable species	moderately restricted,				
and a	t lea	st 2 c	of the following 3 condition	ons apply:				
	(d)		•	species is severely fragmented or				
			nearly all the mature individuals of the species occur within a small					
		number of locations,						
	(e)	ther	there is a projected or continuing decline in any of the following:					
		(i)	(i) an index of abundance appropriate to the taxon,					
		(ii)	(ii) the geographic distribution of the species,					
		(iii)	) habitat area, extent or quality,					
		(iv)	the number of locations in which the species occurs or of					
			populations of the species,					
	(f)	extre	treme fluctuations occur in any of the following:					
		(i)	an index of abundance ap	propriate to the taxon,				

(	(ii)	the geographic distribution of the species,
(	(iii)	the number of locations in which the species occur or of
		populations of the species.

# Clause 4.4 - Low numbers of mature individuals of species and other conditions

(Equivalent to IUCN criterion C)

Assessment Outcome: Not met.

The e	estima	ated t	otal n	umber	of mature in	dividual	s of tl	ne species is:
	(a)	for critically endangered				very low	, or	
		species						
	(b)			ered s		low, or		
	(C)			ble spe		moderat	ely lo	OW,
and e	either				2 conditions			
	(d)			-				e individuals that is
		(acc	ording	to an i	ndex of abun	idance ap	prop	riate to the species):
		(i)			endangered s	species	very	large, or
		(ii)			red species			e, or
		(iii)			le species		mod	lerate,
	(e)	both	both of the following apply:					
		(i)	a continuing decline in the number of mature individuals					
			-	(according to an index of abundance appropriate to the				
				ecies), and				
		(ii)		st one of the following applies:				
			(A)	the number of individuals in each population of the species				
				is:		_		
				(I)	for critically of species	endanger	ed	extremely low, or
				(II)	for endange	red speci	es	very low, or
				(III)	for vulnerab	le species	S	low,
			(B)	all or nearly all mature individuals of the species occur				
			$\langle \mathbf{O} \rangle$	within one population,				
			(C)	extreme fluctuations occur in an index of abundance				
				appropriate to the species.				

#### Clause 4.5 - Low total numbers of mature individuals of species (Equivalent to IUCN criterion D) Assessment Outcome: Not met.

The total number of mature individuals of the species is:						
	(a) for critically endangered extremely low, or species					
	(b)	for endangered species	very low, or			
	(C)	for vulnerable species	low.			

### Clause 4.6 - Quantitative analysis of extinction probability (Equivalent to IUCN criterion E) Assessment Outcome: Data deficient.

The probability of extinction of the species is estimated to be:					
	(a)	for critically endangered	extremely high, or		
		species			
	(b)	for endangered species	very high, or		
	(C)	for vulnerable species	high.		

Clause 4.7 - Very highly restricted geographic distribution of speciesvulnerable species (Equivalent to IUCN criterion D2) Assessment Outcome: Not met.

For vulnerable	the geographic distribution of the species or the number of
species,	locations of the species is very highly restricted such that the
	species is prone to the effects of human activities or
	stochastic events within a very short time period.

Senior Professor Kristine French Chairperson NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

# Supporting Documentation:

Phillips G.P. (2022) Conservation Assessment of *Goodenia nocoleche* Pellow & J.L.Porter (Goodeniaceae). NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee.

# **References:**

- Choquenot D, Ruscoe WA (2003). Landscape complementation and food limitation of large herbivores: habitat-related constraints on the foraging efficiency of wild pigs. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **72**: 14–26.
- Dexter N (1996). The effect of an intensive shooting exercise from a helicopter on the behaviour of surviving feral pigs. *Wildlife Research* **23**: 435–441.
- Dexter N (1998). The influence of pasture distribution and temperature on habitat selection by feral pigs in a semi-arid environment. *Wildlife Research* **25**: 547–559.
- Dexter N (1999). The influence of pasture distribution, temperature and sex on homerange size of feral pigs in a semi-arid environment. *Wildlife Research* **26**: 755–762.
- Gentle M, People A, Scanlan JC, Cater J (2019). The dynamics of feral pig (*Sus scrofa*) populations in response to food supply. *Wildlife Research* **46**: 191–204.

- Hunter J.T. (2020). Vegetation Survey of Nocoleche Nature Reserve. Unpublished report to the NSW Department of Primary Industries and Environment.
- IUCN Standards and Petitions Subcommittee (2022). Guidelines for Using the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria. Version 15. https://nc.iucnredlist.org/redlist/content/attachment\_files/RedListGuidelines.pdf.
- Keith D.K. (2004). Ocean Shore to Desert Dunes The Native Vegetation of New South Wales and the ACT. NSW Department of Environment and Conservation, Hurstville.
- National Feral Pig Action Plan (2021). Frequently Asked Questions factsheet. URL: https://feralpigs.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/FAQS\_2021.pdf (Accessed 16 March 2022).
- National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) (2000). Nocoleche Nature Reserve Plan of Management. URL: https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/-/media/OEH/Corporate-Site/Documents/Parks-reserves-and-protected-areas/Parks-plans-ofmanagement/nocoleche-nature-reserve-plan-of-management-000096.pdf (Accessed 10 March 2022).
- NSW Department of Primary Industry (DPI) (2014). NSW Weedwise: Noogoora Burr (*Xanthium ocidentale*). URL: https://weeds.dpi.nsw.gov.au/Weeds/Details/15 (Accessed 28 March 2022).
- NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) (2017). Predation, habitat degradation, competition and disease transmission by Feral Pigs, *Sus scrofa* Linnaeus 1758 profile. URL:

https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/threatenedSpeciesApp/profile.aspx?id=200 20 (Accessed 15 March 2022).

- Peck S (2020). Evaluating the effectiveness of fencing to manage feral animal impacts on high conservation value artesian spring wetland communities of Currawinya National Park. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Queensland* **126**: 177–191.
- Pellow, B.J., Porter J.L. (2005). A new species of *Goodenia* (Goodeniaceae) from Nocoleche Nature Reserve, Far Western Plains, New South Wales. *Telopea* **11(1)**: 35-41.
- Porter J.L. (2002). Effect of salinity, turbidity and water regime on seedbanks of arid zone wetlands. *Verhandlungen des Internationalen Verein Limnologie* **28**: 1468-1471.
- Porter J.L., Kingsford R.T., Brock M.A. (2007). Seedbanks in arid wetlands with contrasting flooding, salinity and turbidity regimes. *Plant Ecology* **188**: 215-234.

Porter J.L. (2019). SOS data deficient species: Targeted survey results and management recommendations for *Nitella partita* Nordst. (Characeae). Unpublished report to the NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment.

Royal Botanic Gardens and Domain Trust (RBGDT) (2020). NSW1101112 [voucher specimen]. NSW Herbarium specimen catalog. (Accessed 3 December 2021).